

## **Motivation**



- Euclidean distance not appropriate for severe visual variations
- Solution: Ranking on manifolds via graph-based approach, i.e. diffusion [1]

## Standard Diffusion [3]



- ▶ Normalized affinity (reciprocal kNN) matrix:  $S := D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$
- The query is part of the graph
- $\mathbf{y} = (y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y_i = 1$  if *i*-th node is a query,  $y_i = 0$  otherwise Iterative solution preferred in prior work [1]
- $\mathbf{f}^t = \alpha S \mathbf{f}^{t-1} + (1-\alpha) \mathbf{y}$
- Closed-form solution [3] commonly avoided  $\mathbf{f}^{\star} = (1 - \alpha) \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha} := I_n - \alpha S$



Toy with 2D database points, query point, and iso-contours for manifold similarity

**CVPR 2017** 

**Efficient Diffusion on Region Manifolds: Recovering Small Objects with Compact CNN Representations** 

Ahmet Iscen<sup>1</sup>, Giorgos Tolias<sup>2</sup>, Yannis Avrithis<sup>1</sup>, Teddy Furon<sup>1</sup>, Ondřej Chum<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Inria, <sup>2</sup>Visual Recognition Group, CTU in Prague





- Image retrieval with unseen queries: not part of the graph
- **Contribution**: Instead of searching for the query, search for its neighbors:
- $y_i = 1$  (or equal to similarity) if *i*-th node is a kNN of the query,  $y_i = 0$  otherwise

## **Efficient Diffusion**

- Iterative solution is not efficient: long to converge
- ► Closed-form solution  $\mathbf{f}^{\star} = (1 \alpha) \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$  not scalable:  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^{-1}$  not sparse
- **Contribution**: Solve linear system  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}\mathbf{f} = (1 \alpha)\mathbf{y}$  with conjugate gradients (CG)
- Conjugate directions with initial large step size: only a few iterations for good approximation

## **Regional Diffusion**



- Global descriptors not effective for small objects, occlusion.
- Represent images by uniformly sampled overlapping regions [2]: each image represented by m vectors
- Contribution: Diffusion with regions as nodes, multiple regional queries issued with the cost of one
- $y_i = 1$  (or equal to similarity) if *i*-th node is a kNN of any query region,  $y_i = 0$  otherwise

## Large Scale Diffusion

- Off-line) Reduce number of vectors: learn Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) per image
- Off-line) Use approximate NN-search for offline graph construction
- On-line) Regional diffusion as re-ranking: only on top ranked images by truncated affinity matrix





## **Retrieval of Small Objects**

# Precision at retrieved position with global $\rightarrow$ regional diffusion. $5.9 \rightarrow 100$ $(AP: 56.5 \rightarrow 94.3) \quad 8.2 \rightarrow 94.5$

 $12.9 \rightarrow 91.5 \quad 18.8 \rightarrow 91.6 \quad 14.7 \rightarrow 85.4 \quad 13.3 \rightarrow 83.6 \quad 15.9 \rightarrow 86.1 \quad 15.9 \rightarrow 84.8 \quad 10.9 \rightarrow 79.5 \quad 13.7 \rightarrow 82.4 \quad 17.0 \rightarrow 84.1 \quad 17.8 \rightarrow 84.4 \quad 18.8 \rightarrow 91.6 \quad$ Query images (left: bounding box) and retrieved images with the largest improvement by regional diffusion.



Precision at retrieved position on INSTRE dataset (averaged over positive images according relative object size)

## Experiments



Speed and convergence comparison for regional diffusion between the iterative solution (PR) and ours with conjugate gradient (CG)

Method	$m \times d$	INSTRE	Oxf5k	Oxf105k	Par6k	Par106k
Regional descriptors - nearest neighbor search						
R-match [2]	21×512	55.5	81.5	76.5	86.1	79.9
R-match [2]	21×2,048	71.0	88.1	85.7	94.9	91.3
Regional descriptors - query expansion						
Hamming Query Expansion	2.4k×128	74.7	89.4 <sup>†</sup>	84.0 <sup>†</sup>	82.8 <sup>†</sup>	-
R-match [2]+AQE	21×512	60.4	83.6	78.6	87.0	81.0
Regional diffusion*	5×512	77.5	91.5	84.7	95.6	93.0
Regional diffusion*	21×512	80.0	93.2	90.3	96.5	92.6
R-match [2]+AQE	21×2,048	77.1	91.0	89.6	95.5	92.5
Regional diffusion*	5×2,048	88.4	95.0	90.0	96.4	95.8
Regional diffusion*	21×2,048	89.6	95.8	94.2	96.9	95.3

### **References:**

- [1] M. Donoser and H. Bischof. Diffusion processes for retrieval revisited. In CVPR, 2013.
- on Media Technology and Applications, 4:251–258, 2016.



Performance and speed comparison vs number of vectors/image.  $\Box$ : global diffusion,  $\diamond$ : default grid with 21 regions per image

[2] A. S. Razavian, J. Sullivan, S. Carlsson, and A. Maki. Visual instance retrieval with deep convolutional networks. *ITE Transactions* 

[3] D. Zhou, J. Weston, A. Gretton, O. Bousquet, and B. Schölkopf. Ranking on data manifolds. In NIPS, 2003.